UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the November 2004 question papers

0470 HISTORY

0470/04

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

These mark schemes are published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. They show the basis on which Examiners were initially instructed to award marks. They do not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began. Any substantial changes to the mark scheme that arose from these discussions will be recorded in the published *Report on the Examination*.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the *Report on the Examination*.

• CIE will not enter into discussion or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the November 2004 question papers for most IGCSE and GCE Advanced Level syllabuses.



Grade thresholds taken for Syllabus 0470 (History) in the November 2004 examination.

	maximum	mir	nimum mark re	equired for gra	de:
	mark available	А	С	Е	F
Component 4	40	31	22	18	15

The threshold (minimum mark) for B is set halfway between those for Grades A and C. The threshold (minimum mark) for D is set halfway between those for Grades C and E. The threshold (minimum mark) for G is set as many marks below the F threshold as the E threshold is above it.

Grade A* does not exist at the level of an individual component.

November 2004

INTERNATIONAL GCSE

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 40

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 0470/04

HISTORY Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework)



Page 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4

This paper is marked out of a maximum of 40 marks. Candidates must choose ONE Depth Study to attempt. Questions and Marking Schemes for each Depth Study have been developed to follow a pattern. In every case, part (a) questions concentrate on source material provided in the Paper and are worth a total of 20 marks. Part (b) questions deal with subject matter closely related to the source material and also have a total value of 20 marks. Whilst marking schemes are based on the same model, they have been printed separately to allow exemplars of skill and information levels which candidates may use. These exemplars are not intended to be exclusive or exhaustive.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4

Depth Study A: Germany, 1919 – 1945

(a)(i)	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, not supported from source e.g. Va mark changed rapidly causing confusion etc.	lues of (3 – 4)
	Level 3 –	Supports valid inference(s) with reference to the source e.g. payment of bills to avoid rises, confused and frightened old etc.	
(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees with no support from source.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g. Yes, blam Weimar Government, linked reparations and hyperinflatio there were other complicated causes that the Germans ignore	n. No,
	Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Address issue of "How far?"	es the (6 – 7)
(iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is detailed/gives more information, but does not specify information.	
	Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from a German, the other is Bri they could both be biased/unreliable.	tish so (2)
	Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given specify what information.	n. Must (3 – 5)
	Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of so in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-ref between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	• • •
(b)(i)		k for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Massive	fine of
	20.000 IN	nposed on Germany by Treaty of Versailles to punish, comp	ensate

(b)(i) One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Massive fine of £6.6bn imposed on Germany by Treaty of Versailles to punish, compensate countries that had war damage, to keep Germany economically weak etc.

(1 - 2)

- (ii) Level 1 Identifies methods. Currency, reparations, assistance etc. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Describes methods. Award an extra mark for each method described in additional detail e.g. Rentenmark introduced, called off passive resistance and promised to pay reparations, negotiated Dawes Plan etc. (2-4)
- (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the reason explained e.g. Staged at a time of public anger at the occupation of the Ruhr, hyperinflation. Aimed to take control of Bavarian state government, and lead a national revolution to overthrow the Weimar Government. (2-6)

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4
(iv) Level	 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they helped pay reparations. 		(1)
Level	2 – Explanation of US loans OR Stresemann's pol given e.g.	ices, single	e factor
	Loans - Dawes and Young Plans, enabled reparations, increase confidence, expand industry		to pay
	Policies – changed currency, encouraged operation, League of Nations entry, Locarno, K loans finally undermined Germany etc.		
Level	3 – Explanation of US loans OR Stresemann's pol factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.		nultiple
	OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief)	of the ar	gument (3 – 5)
Level	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of US loans AND Stresemann's addressed. 	policies m	iust be (6 – 8)
Depth Study	<u>B: Russia, 1905 – 1941</u>		
(a)(i) Level	1 – Repeats material stated in source, no inference m	nade.	(1 – 2)
Level	 Makes valid inferences, supported from Heterogeneous, inexperienced etc. 	the sourc	e e.g. (3-4)
Level	3 – Supports valid inference(s) with reference to the got up early (6am) worked in very cold tempera mostly young and inexperienced etc.	•	
(ii) Level	1 – Agrees OR disagrees with no support from source	Ð.	(1 – 2)
Level	2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e. consistently rises. No, rise by different amounts, years and commodities etc.	• • •	
Level	3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from sourc issue of "How far?"	e. Address	ses the (6 – 7)
(iii) Level	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does r information. 		
Level	2 – Useful/not useful – One is from an American, t statistics so they could both be biased/unreliable.		Soviet (2)
Level	3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of inform specify what information.	nation giver	n. Must (3 – 5)
Level	 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evalution in context. Include at this Level answers that between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source. 7 marks for both 		

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. (6-7)

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4

- (b)(i) One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. State planning Commission in Stalin's USSR, drew up the plans and target for 5 Year Plans for industry, power, transport etc. (1-2)
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies incentives. One for each example to a maximum of two. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Describes incentives. Award an extra mark for each example described in additional detail e.g. Positive Medals, Party praise, medical, holidays, pay etc. Negative Not being arrested, gulags, staying alive etc. (2 4)
 - (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the reason explained e.g. a reaction to capitalist NEP; for protection of the state; to modernise; to increase his control. (2-6)
 - (iv) Level 1 Simple assertions. Yes, he made things much better. (1)
 - Level 2 Explanation of improvement OR lack of improvement. Single factor given

e.g. Yes, considerable improvements in heavy goods production; much industry safe from invaders; cf. Ability to survive German invasion etc.

No, industrial achievement variable; false accounting; poor consumer goods; had purged many able managers, engineers etc.

(2)

Level 3 – Explanation of improvement OR lack of improvement, with multiple factors. Accept single factors with multiple reasons.

OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). (3-5)

Level 4 – Answers that deal with the issue of "How far?"

BOTH sides of improvement AND lack of improvement must be addressed. (6-8)

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919 - 1941

- (a)(i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, not supported from source e.g. It thought that alcohol was the cause of all social ills etc. (3-4)
 - Level 3 –Supports valid inference(s) with reference to the source e.g. thought that alcohol was the cause of poverty and crime, that the trade was like the German army etc. (5-6)

Par	je 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
1 4		IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4
(ii)	Level 1	– Agrees OR disagrees with no support from source).	(1 – 2)
	Level 2	 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g of Churches, language, images, important end Senate Committee. No, Churches only, ad enforcement etc. 	ough to re	quire a
	Level 3	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from sourc issue of "How far?"	e. Address	ses the (6 – 7)
(iii)	Level 1	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does r information. 		
	Level 2	2 – Useful/not useful – One is from the Anti-Saloon Lo from the Federal Council of Churches so the biased/unreliable.	-	
	Level 3	 Choice made on the nature or amount of inform specify what information. 	nation give	n. Must (3 – 5)
	Level 4	 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluin context. Include at this Level answers the between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. 		
(b)(i)	manufa	nark for each valid aspect to a maximum of tw acture, sale transport, import/export 'for beverage pu by individual states.		
(ii)	Level 1	 Identifies methods. One for each example to a magnetized structure of the second structur	aximum of t	wo. (1 – 2)
	Level 2	2 – Describes methods. Award an extra mark described in additional detail e.g. Prohibition Bureau/agents; Coast guard; extended 3 mile lim prosecution/imprisonment, destroyed stills etc.	laws, intr	oduced
(iii)	Level 1	- Single reason. One for the reason, one for the exp	planation.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2	2 – Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the e.g. Inadequate enforcement methods and Go organised crime; corruption; sheer scale of avoid 'near-beer'.	vernment f	inance;

Pag	ge 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Pape
		IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4
(iv)	Level 1 –	Simple assertions.		
		Yes, because the 21st Amendment was passed.		(1)
	Level 2 –	Explanation of growth of crime as cause OR oth factor given e.g.	ner causes	, single
		Yes, gangs/bootleggers; mass evasion of law; m produced than before Prohibition etc.	ore alcoho	ol being
		No, Government wanted tax revenue/stimulate economic proof that it had failed; Dry vote still strong etc.		oularity. (2)
	Level 3 –	Explanation of growth of crime as cause OR of multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple		es with
		OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief).	of the q	uestion (3 – 5)
	Level 4 –	Answers that address the issue of "How far?"		
		BOTH sides of the growth of crime as cause A must be addressed.	ND other	causes (6 – 8)
epth	Study D:	<u> China, 1945 – c.1990</u>		
a)(i)	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in source, no inference m	ade.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, not supported from peasants wanted revenge on them etc.	source e.	g. The (3 – 4)
	Level 3 –	Makes valid inference(s) with reference to the s confessed in the hope of leniency etc.	source e.g	. Some (5 – 6)
(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees with no support from source	.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g to stop revenge punishments, policy of leniency guilt etc. No, counter revolutionaries and tyrants trying to stop social disintegration? Date of speed yet.	, wanted p specified.	proof of Was he
	Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source	e. Address	ses the

- issue of "How far?" (6 7)
 (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information but does not specify what
 - detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from a Western journalist, the other is from Mao so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. (6-7)

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4

- (b)(i) One for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Early 1950s, peasants persuaded to join collectives to increase food production. Shared equipment and land. By 1956 95% of peasants had joined. Each consisted of between 100 300 families. (1 2)
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies elements. Size, industry and/or agriculture, government. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Describes elements. Award an extra mark for elements described in extra detail e.g. Much larger units (up to 75 000), divided into brigades, work teams with elected council; special projects; crèches, hospitals etc. (2-4)
 - (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the reason explained e.g. different treatment, landlords, promises, GMD (KMT) corruption, comparisons between CCP and GMD; hope etc. (2 – 6)
 - (iv) Level 1 Simple assertions. Yes, they all improved life. (1)
 - Level 2 Explanation of success OR lack of success. Single factor given e.g.

Yes, increased production in agriculture, industry; social reforms in health, work, education and position of women etc.

No, production could have been better – Why introduce Great Leap Forward (58-60) to increase production? Criticism of Hundred Flowers Campaign etc. (2)

Level 3 – Explanation of success OR lack of success with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). (3-5)

Level 4 – Answers that address the issue of "How successful?" BOTH sides of success AND lack of success must be addressed. (6-8)

Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century

- (a)(i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, not supported from source e.g. He was nervous and did not wish any person harm etc. (3-4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inference(s) with reference to the source e.g. He wanted to keep MK separate, charged with the task of setting up a military force etc. (5-6)

Pag	je 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4
(ii)	Level 1	 Agrees OR disagrees with no support from source 	Э.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2	 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e. detention, used force at Sharpeville and the destroyed movement etc. No, Had to keep exten not destroyed but in exile etc. 	law at	Rivonia,
	Level 3	 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from sourc issue of "How far?" 	e. Addres	ses the (6 – 7)
(iii)	Level 1	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does r information. 		
	Level 2	 Useful/not useful – One is from Mandela, and the they could both be biased/unreliable. 	other is B	ritish so (2)
	Level 3	 Choice made on the nature or amount of inform specify what information. 	nation give	n. Must (3 – 5)
	Level 4	 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be based on valid evalution in context. Include at this Level answers that between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. 		• • •
	0			. ,
b)(i)		ark for each valid example to a maximum of two e.g Kathrada, Bernstein, Mhlaba, Mlangeni, Cantor, Go		(1-2)
(ii)	Level 1	 Identifies methods. Publicity, International suppor 	t, diplomac	y. (1 – 2)
	Level 2	 Describes methods. Award an extra mark to described in additional detail e.g. encoural boycotts; publicity; co-operated with other gu Zimbabwe, Angola, Zambia; Tambo and Slovo UNO with USSR; some co-operation with PAC (w 	ged inter ıerrilla figl used diplo	national nters in macy in
(iii)	Level 1	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the exp 	planation.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2	 Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the e.g. 1961 SA left Commonwealth; SA refused to UNO over Rhodesia and SW Africa; OAU 1963; Cold War; international shock at Sha trials/sentences. Policies of Verwoerd and Vorster 	to co-opera USSR usir rpeville;	ate with
(iv)	Level 1	 Simple assertions. Yes, because it kept the blacks away. 		(1)
		 Explanation of effective OR not effective, single factors 	etor aivon	0.0

Level 2 – Explanation of effective OR not effective, single factor given e.g. Yes, 'independent rulers' co-operated; divided tribes; separate development; blacks in white areas only visitors with no rights; popular with majority of white voters.

Page 9	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4

No, caused shortage of permanent labour; employers fund ways round apartheid laws to keep employees, led to illegal TUs and strikes; recruiting ground for PAC/ANC; forced removals internationally condemned. (2)

Level 3 – Explanation of effective OR not effective, with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief) (3-5)

Level 4 – Answers that deal with "How far?" BOTH sides of effective AND not effective must be addressed. (6-8)

Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945 - c.1994

- (a)(i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, not supported from source e.g. The Palestinians felt oppressed etc. (3-4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inference(s) with reference to the source e.g. They would have to break laws and act secretly to get justice etc. (5-6)
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees with no support from source. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g. Yes, Israel regarded them as terrorists; no victory gained; Israel had ignored their demands. No, seen by Arabs as freedom fighters, spread terror, gained publicity. (3 5)
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Addresses the issue of "How far?" (6 7)
 - (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from a Palestinian, the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source, 7 for both.

Page 10	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4

- (b)(i) One mark for each valid aim to a maximum of two e.g. Restore homeland for Palestinians, especially the refugees; act as a resistance group to protect Palestinian interests and to raise awareness of Palestinian issues; create a Palestinian state. (1-2)
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies recognition. Local. International. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Describes recognition. Award an extra mark for any recognition described in greater detail e.g. 1974 recognised by all Arab states; Arafat spoke at UNO Nov 1974; expelled from Lebanon by Israel 1982, went to Tunisia before drifting back to Lebanon. Any country that harbours or attacks PLO is offering some kind of recognition. Seen by UNO as representative of Palestinian refugees. Note: Question ends in 1989. Be sympathetic. (2 4)
 - (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the reason explained e.g. Past experience, Arab respect and personal popularity; involved in guerrilla fighting 1948/9; founded political movement at university, as well as AI Fatah in 1958, served in Egyptian army 1956, co-operated with Arab governments e.g. Egypt, Syria, Iraq, Algeria. Contacts with USSR; popular with resistance fighters who made him President of PLO's executive council 1969. (2-6)
 - (iv) Level 1 Simple assertions. PLO prefers acts of terror. (1)
 - Level 2 Explanation of acts of terror OR UNO, single factors given e.g.

Terror – Kept Palestinian affairs on international agenda; forced USA and West to search for solutions; Israel had to admit it could not defeat Palestinians militarily.

UNO – UNO resolutions raise the issue but achieve little. Israel will not bend; But Oslo Accords? Also see MS (b)(ii). (2)

Level 3 – Explanation of acts of terror OR UNO with multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief) (3-5)

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of acts of terror AND UNO must be addressed. (6 - 8)

Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society

- (a)(i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, not supported from source e.g. There appears to be an improvement etc. (3-4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inference(s) with reference to the source e.g. Recent progress, workers satisfied that changes will benefit children etc.

(5 - 6)

			<u> </u>	
Pag	je 11	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4
(ii)	Level	1 – Agrees OR disagrees with no support from source) .	(1 – 2)
	Level	2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g. school on Sunday, healthy, clothed clean etc. I work, regimentation, a visitor's view etc.		
	Level	3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source issue of "How far?"	e. Address	ses the (6 – 7)
(iii)	Level	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does r information. 		
	Level	2 – Useful/not useful – One is from a report, the other so they could both be biased/unreliable.	ər is from a	a visitor (2)
	Level	3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of inform specify what information.	nation giver	n. Must (3 – 5)
	Level	 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluin context. Include at this Level answers the between A and B to show reliability. 		ference
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		(6 – 7)
(b)(i)	Award one mark for each valid term to a maximum of two e.g. Applied to textile mills (not silk); no child under 9 to work; 9-13 working day reduced to 9 hours; 13-18 reduced to 12 hours. Break for meals of 90 mins a day; 2 hours			ced to 9

- schooling for children; 4 inspectors. (1-2)
- (ii) Level 1 Identifies work. Repetitive. Attending machines etc. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Describes work. Award an extra mark for each valid example described in additional detail e.g. All aspects of machine work from carding, spinning, and weaving where size, nimbleness and cheapness were factors. Reward references to danger. (2-4)
- (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the reason explained e.g. New industrial situation, strength of employers, employers as voters, cost (loss of profits); no strong unions; laws may risk jobs; natural law where the rich ruled and the poor worked etc. (2-6)
- (iv) Level 1 Simple assertions. Disadvantage as they were poorly paid. (1)
 - Level 2 Explanation of advantage OR disadvantage, single factor given e.g.

Advantage – Jobs, housing, regular pay, indoor work, philanthropic employers, model towns etc.

Disadvantage – Poor pay, crowded working and housing conditions, health, few protective laws, danger, brutality etc. (2)

Level 4 – <u>Study H:</u>	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004 Explanation of advantage OR disadvantage, with Allow single factors with multiple reasons. OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief) Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of advantage AND disadvantage mus	of the ar	gument (3 – 5)
Level 4 – <u>Study H:</u>	 Allow single factors with multiple reasons. OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief) Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of advantage AND disadvantage mustions 	of the ar	gument (3 – 5)
Study H:	(annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief) Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of advantage AND disadvantage mus		(3 – 5)
Study H:	BOTH sides of advantage AND disadvantage mus	t be addre	ssed
	The lunnest of Mestern lunnerialism in the 40th ((6 – 8)
	The Impact of Western Imperialism in the 19th (<u>Century</u>	
	Repeats material stated in source, no inference m	ade.	(1 – 2)
Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, not supported from source a means of exploitation etc.	e.g. It is s	seen as (3 – 4)
Level 3 –	as a market for textiles and iron goods; a sour	ce of hum	
Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees with no reference to the sou	rce.	(1 – 2)
Level 2 –	photo and westernised dress; pocket watch, hats class?	. Victorian	middle
	No, no shoes, do not look happy; single examp with missionaries etc.	le and the	ey lived (3 – 5)
Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source issue of "How far?"	e. Address	ses the (6 – 7)
Level 1 –			
Level 2 –			er is a (2)
Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of inform specify what information.	ation give	n. Must (3 – 5)
Level 4 –	Discussion of utility must be made on valid evalu in context. Include at this Level answers that between A and B to show reliability.		• • •
	Level 3 – Level 1 – Level 2 – Level 3 – Level 1 – Level 3 –	 a means of exploitation etc. Level 3 – Supports valid inference(s) with reference to the s as a market for textiles and iron goods; a sour conversion to Christianity; Assumes superiority etc Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees with no reference to the sou Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source photo and westernised dress; pocket watch, hats class? No, no shoes, do not look happy; single examp with missionaries etc. Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source issue of "How far?" Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does n information. Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is from H M Stanley photograph so they could both be biased/unreliabl Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of inform specify what information. Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evalu in context. Include at this Level answers tha 	 Level 3 – Supports valid inference(s) with reference to the source e.g. as a market for textiles and iron goods; a source of hum conversion to Christianity; Assumes superiority etc. Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees with no reference to the source. Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g. Yes, photo and westernised dress; pocket watch, hats. Victorian class? No, no shoes, do not look happy; single example and the with missionaries etc. Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Address issue of "How far?" Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one i detailed/gives more information, but does not specify information. Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is from H M Stanley, the other photograph so they could both be biased/unreliable. Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give specify what information. Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of soc in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-re between A and B to show reliability.

Page 13	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE EXAMINATIONS – NOVEMBER 2004	0470	4

- (b)(i) One mark for each valid country to a maximum of two e.g. South West Africa (Namibia), Cameroon, Togo, Tanganyika. NB - Uganda GB protectorate in 1894. (1-2)
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies aspects. An attempt by European countries to create an empire out of the lands of Africa which had not already been claimed. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. New imperial powers like Germany, Belgium, enter the fray to develop colonies as status symbol, place in sun, market, source of raw material etc. Berlin Conference 1884/5. (2-4)
 - (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the reason explained e.g. Conquest; agreement (Berlin Conference); trade; Christianity; exploitation; destruction of culture; dispersion of tribes and peoples, bribery of chiefs, fear, superior technology and military force etc.

(2 - 6)

- (iv) Level 1 Simple assertions. Yes, they had made themselves rich. (1)
 - Level 2 Explanation of satisfaction OR dissatisfaction, single factor given e.g.

Satisfaction – Place in sun, status, market, resources, wealth, Christian conversion, excess population destination etc.

Dissatisfaction – Not all areas under complete control, wars with locals, competition and rivalry with other European states, diplomatic incidents, cause of possible international war, cost etc.

(2)

Level 3 – Explanation of satisfaction OR dissatisfaction, multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). (3-5)

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of satisfaction AND dissatisfaction must be addressed. (6-8)